UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,918	09/21/2006	Alexander Kaiser	31576/41843	5470
	7590 09/03/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
233 SOUTH W	ACKER DRIVE	ADAMS, GREGORY W		
6300 SEARS TO CHICAGO, IL	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Application No. Applicant(s)							
		10/575,918		KAISER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			GREGORY	W. ADAMS	3652				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appe	ears on the d	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA sof 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no event Il apply and will e cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>28 Jul</i> s	v 2009						
,	, ,	2b)⊠ This a		n-final					
3)		<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims			,					
· ·	•								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8 and 10-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7 and 10-14</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) <u>4,8 and 15-20</u> is/are object	_							
•			alaction rec	uiromont					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <u></u> accep	pted or b)⊑	objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the dr	rawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	_	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Da Notice of Informal P Da Other:	ate				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 5, 7 & 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Correggi et al. (US 2003/0168314) (previously cited) in view of Jones (US 3,780,884), Lee et al. (US 5,868,549) and Rogers (US 2,864,516). Correggi et al. disclose

- a transposing device 10, 11, 31 for forming layers of plastic bottles that are fed in rows (generally indicated as 4),
- a pallet loader 5 for transferring layers,
- a conveyor zone 50 situated between a transposing device and a pallet loader wherein a conveyor zone is a sliding gripper 50 for a layer and a

gripper is movable between a normal parking station 3 of a transposing device and a normal receiving station 2 of a pallet loader 5 for layers formed by a transposing device,

Page 3

 wherein a transposing device 10 loads a layer of plastic bottles to a conveyor zone 50 and wherein a pallet loader receives picks up a layers of objects plastic bottles from a conveyor zone.

Jones discloses a conveyor zone situated between a transposing device 44 and a pallet loader 2 wherein a conveyor zone is a sliding conveyor, e.g. table, 48 for a layer and a table is movable between a normal parking station of a transposing device and a normal receiving station of a pallet loader for layers formed by a transposing device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Correggi et al. to include a table that moves horizontally between two positions, as per the teachings of Jones, to allow for accommodation of a myriad of sizes in layers. Lee et al. discloses a sliding table 50 that moves between a parking station and a receiving station. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Correggi to include Lee's sliding table as is well known in palletizers. C1/L11-17. And, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Correggi to combine Jones moving horizontal supporting conveyor with Lee's sliding table because all achieve a predictable result of palletizing layers of articles.

Rogers et al. disclose a buffer 10 for intermediate storage of one layer so that homogenous layer of articles can be formed on said buffer while a main are is receiving a respective layer. C6/L1-35. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Correggi to include a buffer, as per the teachings of Rogers et al., so that homogenous layers can be formed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 8, 15-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 28, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Correggi's horizontally sliding conveyor 50 conveys a layer between a layer forming transposing device 10, 11, 31 and a receiving station wherein a palletizer 5 grips a layer and places said layer on a pallet. As noted above the combination of Jones and Lee discloses a sliding table that moves a layer between a parking station and a receiving station of a pallet loader.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY W. ADAMS whose telephone number is (571)272-8101. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30am-5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,918 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saul Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-7097. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gregory W Adams/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3652